

GREENE ENTERPRISES, INC.

WELL SERVICING EXCELLENCE P.O. BOX 1686

GILLETTE, WYOMING 82717 307-682-7380

FAX 307-686-2692

February 14, 2007

FILED

FEB 1 4 2007

Terri A. Lorenzon, Director

Environmental Quality Council

Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality Water Quality Division – Attention Bill Dirienzo Herschler Bldg., 4th Floor West 122 W. 25th St. Cheyenne, WY 82002

VIA FACSIMILE: 307-777-5973

HARD COPY TO FOLLOW

Dear Mr. Dirienzo,

My name is Lee Greene, and I am the proud owner of Greene Enterprises, Inc., a coal bed methane well service company. I have been in this line of business for over six years, and have seen a lot with regards to regulations and policies. However, this particular policy, the "Ag Use Protection Policy," is highly unreasonable and unattainable.

The "Ag Use Protection Policy" is unreasonable for many reasons. First, this policy does not only affect the alleged "evil gas companies" or the "evil contractors", but it has an effect on many others, including family and friends that are employed by Greene Enterprises, Inc. Those names are:

Alejandro Barrera Aureliano Estrada Brett Egberto Chris Cox Chris Greene Curtis Greene	Darrel Sutherland Francisco Reyes Jason Sindelar Jesse Simons Jimmy Moore JoAnna Greene	Kelly Ramsdell Kristain Schutt Matt Miller Timothy Worden Tony Hanson Travis Egberto
--	---	--

The policy also has an effect on the employee's families, their home mortgage companies that they pay bills to, the car companies, local business such as Wal-Mart, the stores on Main Street; this policy affects the Wyoming economy as a whole.

Landowners are also affected by this policy. Coal bed methane water is a source used by the landowners for irrigation and watering livestock. This policy would cause the landowners to no longer be able to use CBM water as a source. In return this would

result in causing the landowners many problems. First, the landowners' crops would not be receiving the water needed. Further, livestock, especially during the drought, would have complications from the lack of water in the reservoirs. Lastly, the landowners would be losing money from either having to find other ways to irrigate or water their livestock or having acreage taken away from them by having bigger reservoirs built to hold the water capacity required.

The "Ag Use Protection Policy" causes problems for the wildlife as well. For instance, the irrigated crops provide food, water, and shelter for much wildlife. If there is not any water to irrigate the crops, then those crops will no longer be able to provide that protection and food to the wildlife. The same can be said about trying to build bigger reservoirs. The wildlife that surrounds and inhabits those areas will also be losing protection, food and water. The Department of Environmental Quality and Environmental Quality Control strive for the protection and preservation of wildlife, and the "Ag Use Protection Policy" would be disrupting that.

The "Ag Use Protection Policy" is also unreasonable for the simple fact that all involved would be required to meet a water quality that is truly unattainable. The current Drinking water requirement is 2000 PPB, and the current CBM water standard is 1800 PPB. This policy would require that the standard for CBM be 200 PPB. Now why is it that the current standard for CBM is higher than the standard for Drinking water and that the new standard that would be implemented is even higher than that? There are many samples of water that can be taken that would fail this requirement. For instance, a sample of rain water would fail the current and the proposed standards. Further, the stock water wells would not even meet the "Ag Use" Protection Policy requirements.

The "Ag Use Protection Policy" is not protecting anyone. It does not protect the jobs of the thousands of employees working for the coal bed methane industry. It does not not protect those employees' wives or children that depend on this job. It does not protect the economy, the "mom and pop" stores that strive on a strong economy. It does not protect the landowners from drought, dehydration, or loss of land. It does not protect the wildlife from losing shelter, food, and water. The only thing this "Ag Use Protection the wildlife from losing shelter, food, and water. The only thing this "Ag Use Protection Policy" protects are those people who want coal bed methane drilling to cease altogether because if this policy passes it will. The requirements proposed in this policy would be nearly unattainable and are highly unreasonable. This is why I am strongly opposed to the "Ag Use Protection Policy."

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Lee V. Greene

President of Greene Enterprises, Inc.