Jul 27 10	10:42a	Peggy Turk	piville	3	07-283-2835	p.1
BY FAX	307 - 777 - 6134			EQC Docket 10-2803 DEQ AQD A -9645 / MD-9645		
To:	Environmental Quality Council					
	Attention:	David Searle Kim Waring Jim Ruby		Presiding Officer, EQC Sr. Off. Support Specialist Executive Secretary		AT FILED JUL 2 7 2010 Jim Ruby, Executive Secretary Environmental Quality Council e before faxing and leave
Re:	EQC Docket No. 10-2803 DEQ AQD AP -9645 / MD-9645 Pre-Hearing telephone Conference					
From:	Judith Bush ph / fax		307-283-2835	please phone before faxing and leave		
date: No. pgs	June 27, 20 1	10			<u>measage inte er</u>	

Dear Mr. Searle, Mr. Ruby and Ms. Waring,

I will be available to participate in the pre-hearing conference scheduled to take place on Tuesday, August 3, 2010 at 9:00 am and will be reachable at the following telephone number.

307-283-2739

The July 20, 2010 Order does not contain a phone number to call in case of difficulty accessing the conference call. It also does not contain (if one is necessary) a personal code number for accessing the conference call. Could you please fax this information to me.

Les Turgeon mentioned to me in passing that the pre-hearing conference had been scheduled after having seen this information posted on the EQC web site. I waited several more days to receive the Order by mail before replying Please note that at this time I have not received the Order which was mailed to me on July 20, 2010. Would you please check to confirm that this letter was posted.

I do not have internet where I am staying (or at my home in Ontario). I access the EQC web pages periodically either on a friend's computer or at the library. It is fortunate that Les Turgeon mentioned the pre-hearing conference to me, since he assumed that I was already aware of when it would be taking place. Along the same line, please note that e-mail is not a dependable means of communication to reach me unless I also receive notification, either by phone, fax or mail that the e-mail has been sent. I am concerned that failure to receive this Order could have resulted in my dismissal from this case.

Yours truly. Judith Bush

P.S. The July 20, 2010 EQC Order states that it concerns AQD No AP 9645 and AQD No. MD-9646. I believe this is incorrect. AP 9645 was for a AQD Mining / Quarry Operations Non-Coal Permit. MD 9645 is the supsequent permit resulting from this application. To my knowledge, there was no accompanying application for a Crushing / Screening Operations Permit (which would have been consecutively numbered, had such an application been submitted along with Ap 9645).