John S. Burbridge, # 5-2856 Senior Assistant Attorney General Attorney General's Office 123 Capitol Avenue Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002 Telephone: (307) 777-6946 Fax: (307) 777-3542

Attorney for The Department of Environmental Quality

FILED

DEC 0 3 2009

Jim Ruby, Executive Secretary Environmental Quality Council

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COUNCIL OF THE STATE OF WYOMING

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
Mullinax Concrete Services Co.)	Docket No. 09-4602
Limited Mining Operation Application)	
TFN 5 4/123)	

MOTION TO DISMISS

On November 3, 2009, Larry Ligocki of Mullinax Concrete Services Company, Inc. (Mullinax) transmitted electronic documents to the Environmental Quality Council (EQC), ostensibly, with the intent that such transmission would initiate to be a petition for review of the Department of Environmental Quality/Land Quality Division's (DEQ/LQD) denial of Mullinax's application for a limited mining operation, TFN 5 4/123. The DEQ/LQD is requesting that the EQC dismiss the above captioned matter for Mullinax's failure to file a petition for review in compliance with Chapter 1, Section 3 of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure. In support of this Motion, the DEQ/LQD states the following:

1. On November 3, 2009, Mullinax e-mailed the EQC asking that it review the decision of the DEQ/LQD denying Mullinax's application for a limited mining operation in Johnson County, Wyoming.

Motion to Dismiss Docket Number 09-4602 Page 1 of 5 2. Attached to the e-mail communication were several documents, including the DEQ/LQD denial letter, copies of e-mail strings and other various written correspondence.

3. Chapter 1, Section 3(a) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure requires that "[a]ll hearings before the Council, appeals or others, shall be held pursuant to these rules, the provisions of the Environmental Quality Act W.S. 35-11-101 through 1104 and the Wyoming Administrative Procedure Act."

4. Chapter 1, Section 3(b) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure requires "[a]ll persons requesting a hearing or protesting a permit shall file two copies of a written petition directed to and served upon both the Chairman of the Council and the Director of the Department."

5. Mullinax has failed to file two copies of a written petition directed to and served upon both the Chairman of the Council and the Director of the Department.

6. Chapter 1, Section 3(b)(i) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure requires that "[0]riginal service shall be by registered mail, return receipt requested."

7. Mullinax has not served the petition by registered mail, return receipt requested on either the Chairman of the Council or the Director of the Department.

8. All parties prevailing whose interest will be necessarily affected by a reversal, must be served and. *First Nat'l Bank v. Bonham*, 559 P.2d 42, 50 (Wyo. 1977). Until proper service is completed, the reviewing body has no jurisdiction over the matter. *Id.*

9. Chapter 1, Section 3(c) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure requires the following:

The petition for hearing shall set forth:

Motion to Dismiss Docket Number 09-4602 Page 2 of 5 (i) Name and address of the person making the request or protest and the name and address of his attorney, if any.

(ii) The action, decision, order or permit upon which a hearing is requested or an objection is made.

(iii) A statement in ordinary, but concise language of the facts on which the request or protest is based, including whenever possible particular reference to the statutes, rules or orders that the Applicant or Protestant alleges have been violated.

(iv) A request for hearing before the Council.

10. Mullinax has not filed a petition with the EQC and has most notably failed to include "[a] statement in ordinary, but concise language of the facts on which the request or protest is based, including whenever possible particular reference to the statutes, rules or orders that the Applicant or Protestant alleges have been violated." Chapter 1, Section 3(c) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure.

11. Mullinax has failed to file a petition as set forth by Chapter 1, Section 3(c) resulting in the failure to commence a proceeding before the EQC as required by Chapter 1, Section 3(d) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure which states "[t]he filing of such petition shall constitute the commencement of the proceeding on the date filed."

12. A petition is necessary to frame the issues to be reviewed by the EQC. Basin Elec. Power Coop. v. State Bd. Of Control, 578 P.2d 557, 570 (Wyo. 1978). Minimally, the contents of a petition should be a statement from which a reviewing court could be reasonably informed as to the nature of, and reasons for, the requested review. Rolfes v. State ex rel. Burt, 464 P.2d 531, 532 (Wyo. 1970).

> Motion to Dismiss Docket Number 09-4602 Page 3 of 5

13. Mullinax's electronic communication does not meet the requirements of Chapter 1, Section 3(c) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure. When a petition for review fails to meet the basic requirements of the law, it is not an abuse of discretion to dismiss the appeal. *Pinther v. Webb*, 983 P.2d 1221, 1223 (Wyo. 1999).

For the reasons set forth above, the Department of Environmental Quality/Land Quality Division respectfully requests that the Environmental Quality Council dismiss docket number 09-4602 for Mulinax Concrete Services Company, Inc's. failure to comply with Chapter 1, Section 3(c) of the Environmental Quality Council's General Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of December, 2009.

FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

John S. Burbridge, # 5-2836 Senior Assistant Attorney General Attorney General's Office 123 Capitol Avenue Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002 307-777-6946 307-777-3542 (fax)

Motion to Dismiss Docket Number 09-4602 Page 4 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing document was served by US. Mail, postage prepaid, and addressed correctly, to the following people on the 3rd day of December, 2009:

Larry Ligocki Mullinax Concrete Service Company, Inc. P.O. Box 2044 Sheridan, Wyoming 82801

Anthony T. Wendtland 2161 Coffeen Avenue, Suite 301 Sheridan, Wyoming 82801

Wyoming Attorney General's Office

Motion to Dismiss Docket Number 09-4602 Page 5 of 5 John S. Burbridge, # 5-2856 Senior Assistant Attorney General Attorney General's Office 123 Capitol Avenue Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002 Telephone: (307) 777-6946 Fax: (307) 777-3542

Attorney for The Department of Environmental Quality

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COUNCIL OF THE STATE OF WYOMING

IN THE MATTER OF: Mullinax Concrete Services Co. Limited Mining Operation Application TFN 5 4/123

Docket No. 09-4602

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

THIS MATTER having come before the Wyoming Environmental Quality Council ("EQC") on a Motion to Dismiss from the Department of Environmental Quality ("DEQ") for dismissal of EQC docket number 09-4602. The EQC having reviewed the motion and having been otherwise fully informed in the premises MAKES THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND OREDR:

1. On November 3, 2009, Mullinax e-mailed the EQC asking that it review the decision of the DEQ/LQD denying Mullinax's application for a limited mining operation in Johnson County, Wyoming.

2. Attached to the e-mail communication were several documents, including the DEQ/LQD denial letter, copies of e-mail strings and other various written correspondence.

3. Mullinax has failed to file two copies of a written petition with the EQC.

Order of Dismissal Docket Number 09-4602 Page 1 of 4 4. Mullinax has not served the petition by registered mail, return receipt requested on either the Chairman of the EQC or the Director of the DEQ.

5. Mullinax's e-mail communication most notably fails to include "[a] statement in ordinary, but concise language of the facts on which the request or protest is based, including whenever possible particular reference to the statutes, rules or orders that the Applicant or Protestant alleges have been violated." Chapter 1, Section 3(c) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure.

6. Mullinax has failed to file a petition as set forth by Chapter 1, Section 3(c) resulting in the failure to commence a proceeding before the EQC as required by Chapter 1, Section 3(d) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure.

7. Chapter 1, Section 3(a) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure requires that "[a]ll hearings before the Council, appeals or others, shall be held pursuant to these rules, the provisions of the Environmental Quality Act W.S. 35-11-101 through 1104 and the Wyoming Administrative Procedure Act."

8. Chapter 1, Section 3(b) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure requires "[a]ll persons requesting a hearing or protesting a permit shall file two copies of a written petition directed to and served upon both the Chairman of the Council and the Director of the Department."

9. Chapter 1, Section 3(b)(i) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure requires that "[0]riginal service shall be by registered mail, return receipt requested."

10. All parties prevailing whose interest will be necessarily affected by a reversal, must be served and. *First Nat'l Bank v. Bonham*, 559 P.2d 42, 50 (Wyo. 1977). Until proper service is completed, the reviewing body has no jurisdiction over the matter. *Id*.

Order of Dismissal Docket Number 09-4602 Page 2 of 4 11. A petition is necessary to frame the issues to be reviewed by the EQC. *Basin Elec. Power Coop. v. State Bd. Of Control*, 578 P.2d 557, 570 (Wyo. 1978). Minimally, the contents of a petition should be a statement from which a reviewing court could be reasonably informed as to the nature of, and reasons for, the requested review. *Rolfes v. State ex rel. Burt*, 464 P.2d 531, 532 (Wyo. 1970).

12. Mullinax's electronic communication does not meet the requirements of Chapter 1, Section 3(c) of the EQC's General Rules of Practice and Procedure. When a petition for review fails to meet the basic requirements of the law, it is not an abuse of discretion to dismiss the appeal. *Pinther v. Webb*, 983 P.2d 1221, 1223 (Wyo. 1999).

The Environmental Quality Council, being otherwise fully advised on the premises, HEREBY ORDERS:

1. That Mullinax Concrete Services Company, Inc.'s e-mail transmission to EQC staff does not constitute a petition for review and that the EQC is without jurisdiction to hear the above captioned matter.

2. That Docket Number 09-4602 be and is hereby DISMISSED.

WYOMING ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COUNCIL

Chairman

Order of Dismissal Docket Number 09-4602 Page 3 of 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jim ruby, do hereby certify that the foregoing ORDER OF DISMISSAL was served by placing a true and correct copy in the United States mail, postage prepaid, on this day of ______, 20____, addressed to the following:

Larry Ligocki Mullinax Concrete Service Company, Inc. P.O. Box 2044 Sheridan, Wyoming 82801

Anthony T. Wendtland 2161 Coffeen Avenue, Suite 301 Sheridan, Wyoming 82801

AND also to the following person via interoffice mail of the State of Wyoming:

John Corra, Director Department of Environmental Quality Herschler Building Cheyenne, WY 82002

Don McKenzie, Land Quality Administrator Department of Environmental Quality Herschler Building Cheyenne, WY 82002

John S. Burbridge Attorney General's Office 2424 Pioneer Building Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002

Jim Ruby

Order of Dismissal Docket Number 09-4602 Page 4 of 4