

Department of Environment

To protect, conserve and enhance the quality o environment for the benefit of current and future generations.



John Corra, Director

March 28, 2011

Mr. Mike McDonald, Chairman Fremont County Solid Waste Disposal District POB 1400 Lander, Wyoming 82520

RE:

Sand Draw Landfill Renewal Application

SHWD File #10.195

Dear Mr. McDonald,



The Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, Solid Waste Permitting Program (Department) has completed its review of the renewal application for the Sand Draw landfill. During our review we have identified several minor deficiencies which will need to be corrected before a renewal permit can be issued. The Department has also identified issues which may require additional work; however, they will not prevent us from issuing a four (4) year renewal permit under the current Solid Waste Rules.

As you know, recent legislation includes provisions for "lifetime" permits. The effective date for issuing lifetime permits is July 1, 2012, which allows the Department time to develop applicable rules. Issuing a four (4) year permit now for the Sand Draw landfill will meet the requirements of the Consent Decree and provide the District additional time to address other issues at the facility prior to the next renewal application submittal and issuance of a lifetime permit.

The application review form identifies both outstanding facility issues and deficiencies of the renewal application. The specific sections that need to be addressed in order to issue a four (4) year renewal permit are summarized below:

- Section 1.1 Issues related to the P.E. and P.G. certifications of the application.
- Section 4.12 Insufficient litter inspection and collection program.
- Section 4.14 Permit wording regarding "Special Wastes".

On November 27, 2001, the Department submitted a letter to the District suggesting that the District submit a renewal application that includes plans for a vertical expansion over the original 80 acre landfill site. On January 14, 2002, the District and the Department met to discuss alternatives. In a follow-up memo, the Department again committed to a vertical expansion of the facility, noting that the District must first submit a permit modification application. On



January 18, 2002, the Department sent a letter noting that the remaining permitted life of the facility was approximately 2.8 years. The Department again committed to a vertical expansion that would add up to 14 years of life to the landfill, meaning the facility would need to cease disposal in the unlined original 80 acre area by December 31, 2018. On March 17, 2003, the District submitted a plan and timetable to complete filling in the existing 80 acre area by December 13, 2018, giving the District approximately 15 years of additional capacity beyond what had previously been permitted and more time to establish a long-term plan for waste management. The Department considers these documents to be a commitment by both parties that disposal in the original 80 acres would not continue beyond December 31, 2018.

If the District wishes to make a performance based design demonstration to dispose of waste in areas without engineered containment after December 31, 2018, the questions raised by the District regarding site hydrogeologic conditions will need to be answered prior to the issuance of a lifetime permit. Depending upon the outcome of the District's investigations, changes to the groundwater monitoring network may be required. Lastly, if the District plans to pursue these issues, the Department recommends a meeting to discuss the scope of these activities. A scoping meeting would help to identify all the necessary information required and discuss a plan for collecting and utilizing information in a formal performance based demonstration.

If you have any questions regarding our review or would like to schedule a scoping meeting, please contact Patrick Troxel at 307.335.6950.

Sincerely,

Carl Anderson, Administrator

Solid and Hazardous Waste Division

Encl. Permit application review, dated March 28, 2011

cc. Bob Doctor, WDEQ Program Manager Patrick Troxel, District #2 Supervisor Lander SHWD File #10.195 Cheyenne SHWD File #10.195

Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality Solid & Hazardous Waste Division

SOLID WASTE PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW FORM

(Version 12/11/2009)

Facility Name:

Sand Draw Landfill

SHWD Facility File #:

10.195

Type of Application:

Sanitary Landfill - Renewal with Major Amendment

Solid Waste Chapter 2 (October 15, 1998)

SHWD Reviewer

Patrick Troxel, District #2 Supervisor

Application Date:

1st - December 23, 2010

Application Received:

1st - December 27, 2010

Review Completed:

1st - March 25, 2011

REVIEW COMMENTS

The applicable permit application requirements are outlined below. Each requirement is followed by a summary of the application standard, a description of the type of information required, and the applicable technical standards which must be met. The reader is referred to the rules and regulations for a full description of each standard.

All sections of the application must be determined to be complete and technically adequate before a draft permit can be issued. Renewal, major amendment and closure applications are reviewed for completeness and technical adequacy simultaneously. New facility applications are reviewed for completeness first. Technical reviews of new facility applications are not initiated until all sections of an application are determined to be complete. Technical reviews of new facility applications must carefully consider any comments received during the initial round of public notice and comment. The reviewer may propose permit conditions in order to insure that a particular section of the application is technically adequate.

The following terms are used to describe the reviewer's comments regarding completeness and technical adequacy determinations:

"Complete"

means a permit application that contains all the information required to be submitted by the solid waste rules and regulations, in sufficient detail to allow a technical review of the information to commence.

"Incomplete"

means a permit application *does not* contain all the information required to be submitted by the solid waste rules and regulations, in sufficient detail to allow a technical review of the information to commence.

"Adequate"

means a permit application which demonstrates information submitted complies with

applicable technical standards set forth in the Solid Waste Rules and Regulations.

"Inadequate"

means a permit application which does not demonstrates information submitted complies with applicable technical standards set forth in the Solid Waste Rules and Regulations.

"NA"

This term indicates that the particular section or standard is *Not Applicable*. When this determination is made, a comment is provided to justify this determination.

"NE"

This term indicates that the particular section or standard was *Not Evaluated* because it was previously approved and there is no obvious reason (e.g., modification, change in circumstances) to warrant a new review.

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Application Form**

Section 2.b.i-ii

- Must use double-sided form provided by the department (see Solid Waste Guideline #3)
- Must be signed, dated and stamped by Wyoming P.E.
- Must be signed and dated by landowner (if not the same as applicant)
- Must be signed and dated by applicant (ranking elected official, 2 principal officers, or proprietor/general partner)
- Applicant signature(s) must be notarized
- NOTE: All sections of the application which require geological services or work must be stamped, signed, and dated by a professional geologist (see W.S. § 33-41-115). As an alternative to stamping, signing and dating individual sections of the application, the applicant may attach a page to the permit application form which identifies those sections of the application which were prepared by or under the supervision of a professional geologist (see Solid Waste Guideline #3)
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B

Comments...

Deficient.

The permit application form is signed by a Wyoming PG and PE; however, the form has been altered to read "This certification is limited to work completed by Trihydro Corporation." Therefore, the permit application must include a detailed list of the specific sections being certified by Trihydro Corporation (Trihydro). In addition, any sections not being certified by Trihydro must include additional certifications or be removed from the permit application.

1.2 Operator Information**

Section 2.b.iii.A.I

- Must ID name, address, telephone number
- Must provide summary of any administrative order, civil or administrative penalty assessment, bond forfeiture, civil, misdemeanor or felony conviction or court proceeding for any local, state or federal law occurring within a minimum of 5 years relating to environmental quality or criminal racketeering of the manager, operator, partners and/or executive officers
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.I

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

1.3 Manager Information**

Section 2.b.iii.A.II

- Must ID name, address, telephone number
- Must ID basic training requirements and examination courses, including length and frequency of each requirement or course
- Must ID schedule for training and examination of new managers
- Must ID location of training and examination records
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.I

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

1.4 Legal Description**

Section 2.b.iii.A.III

- Must include a plat with monumented corners and a metes and bounds description
- Plat must be stamped, signed and dated by a Wyoming PLS (see W.S. § 33-29-111)
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.I

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

1.5 General Facility Description**

Section 2.b.iii.A.IV

- Must ID size of facility (acres)
- Must ID type of management method (e.g., area fill, trench fill, storage, treatment, etc) for each waste type
- Must ID type, service area and acceptance rate for each type of waste, including MSW rate in tons per day
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.A.I

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

1.6 Surface & Mineral Ownership

Section 2.b.iii.A.V

- Must ID surface and mineral ownership of the site
- Must ID surface ownership of all lands within one (1) mile of the facility boundary

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

2.0 LOCATION STANDARDS

Comments...

N/E

This facility is not proposing any changes which require a re-evaluation of the location standards.

3.0 GEOLOGY, GROUNDWATER, SOILS, UNSTABLE AREAS

3.1 Regional Geology and Groundwater**

Section 2.b.iii.A.VII

- Regional information required
- Must summarize stratigraphy (i.e., formations, lithologies and thicknesses) and structure (folding, faulting, strike and dip)
- Must summarize hydrogeology (i.e., aquifers, water quality, recharge and discharge areas, flow directions, etc.)
- Copies of all available well logs for water wells within a one (1) mile of the site are required as supporting documentation (a computer printout from the State Engineer's Office is useful in identifying all wells within a one (1) mile of the site)
- A geologic map, stratigraphic section and cross-section(s) are required as supporting documentation (color or unique patterns required)
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative
- Geological services or work must be stamped, signed, and dated by a professional geologist (see W.S. § 33-41-115)
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.A.III

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

3.2 Site Suitability

Section 2.b.iii.A.VIII

- Must ID any features, natural or man-made, which would limit the site's suitability as a landfill
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

3.3 Soils**

Section 2.b.iii.A.IX.1

- Must provide site-specific information
- Must ID USCS soil descriptions
- Must ID thickness and areal extent of all soil types (isopach map may be necessary as supporting documentation)
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.A.III

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

3.4 Geology**

Section 2.b.iii.A.IX.2

- Must provide site-specific information
- At a minimum, the narrative must contain a detailed summary of any supporting documentation such as site-specific geologic reports
- Must summarize stratigraphy (i.e., formations, lithologies and thicknesses) and structure (folding, faulting, strike and dip)
- A geologic map, stratigraphic section and cross-section(s) are required as supporting documentation (color or unique patterns required)
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative
- Geological services or work must be stamped, signed, and dated by a professional geologist (see W.S. § 33-41-115)
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.A.III

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

3.5 Unstable Areas**

Section 2.b.iii.A.IX.3

- Must provide site-specific information, including a discussion of trench wall design
- Must ID unstable areas caused by natural features or man-made features or events, and which
 may result in geologic hazards including but not limited to slope failures, landslides, rockfalls,
 differential and excessive settling or severe erosion
- A map identifying the location of any unstable areas must be provided as supporting documentation
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly

referenced in the narrative

**Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.A.III

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

3.6 Seismic Impact Zones, Fault Areas, Floodplains, Wetlands**

Section 2.b.iii.A.IX.4

- Must provide site-specific information
- Must ID any feature which is present
- Seismic impact zones should be identified using USGS website mapping tools available at http://gldims.cr.usgs.gov/website/nshmp2002/viewer.htm
- A map identifying the location of any fault areas, 100-year floodplains or wetlands must be provided as supporting documentation
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.A.III

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

3.7 Groundwater Occurrence**

Section 2.b.iii.A.IX.5

- Must provide site-specific information
- At a minimum, the narrative must contain a detailed summary of any supporting documentation such as site-specific groundwater reports
- Must ID depth to the uppermost aquifer, the thickness of the aquifer, and the hydrologic properties of the aquifer
- A potentiometric surface map must be provided as supporting documentation
- Potentiometric surfaces in close proximity to waste management units should be identified on site-specific cross-sections
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.A.III

Comments... Complete and Technically Adequate with comments

Historically, the facility has submitted information and asserted that the groundwater being monitored at the facility was a continuous water bearing unit with a hydrogeologic connection between wells and that the uppermost aquifer is being monitored. However, the most recent renewal application suggests that the previous assertions may or may not be accurate.

The current application states that a hydrogeologic connection between wells at the site may or may not be the case. The application also suggests that shallow groundwater may be perched. Historical data submitted by the applicant has consistently indicated that wells are in hydrogeologic communication. As stated on page 4-10, existing data is inadequate to correlate observed changes in water levels to specific precipitation events or characterize the nature and extent of hydrogeologic connections between specific wells

The permit application states that the lack of a recharge source in the northern portion of the expansion area and relatively consistent static water levels suggest the presence of one (1) or more perched zones. However, the application also notes water level trends and annual increases and decreases in static water levels in individual wells. Relatively consistent static water levels in a well may be due to a general balance between infiltration and groundwater migration in the subsurface. While recharge in an arid climate is less than in more temperate zones, recharge does occur and has been documented at lined arid landfills in Wyoming and other western states. Infiltration and subsurface migration of groundwater have been demonstrated at the Sand Draw Landfill site by measured increases and decreases in static water levels in monitoring wells. None of these conditions mentioned in support of perched groundwater in and of themselves confirm the presence of perched groundwater.

In addition, potentiometric surface maps provided by the facility in groundwater monitoring reports indicate a groundwater mound that could only be the result of infiltration at the site. This interpretation is consistent with water level data indicating that infiltration occurs at the site.

The application raises questions about groundwater at the site, but as stated by the applicant, there is insufficient data to demonstrate that a hydrogeologic connection does not exist between wells at the site. The data provided does not sufficiently support the presence of perched zones or define the nature and extent of these zones. In particular, the Department does not believe that the geophysical data or the groundwater dating information are conclusive. However, because the application states that this information raises questions and has not drawn specific conclusions or interpretations from it, relative to the groundwater monitoring network, a formal technical evaluation of these documents is not being provided at this time.

Rather than delay the permit process further, the Department plans to issue a renewal permit for this facility authorizing continued disposal in the original 80 acre area for the next four (4) year permit term. The next permit application for the facility will need to include a detailed discussion/evaluation of these issues.

3.8 Groundwater Quality**

Section 2.b.iii.A.IX.6

- Must provide site-specific information
- Must ID seasonal and spatial variations in groundwater quality (if present)
- A minimum of four (4) groundwater samples (upgradient and downgradient) are required to define baseline groundwater quality; more may be need on a site-specific basis
- Eight (8) pre-waste groundwater samples are required to support intrawell analysis
- Laboratory reports must be provided as supporting documentation
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.A.III

Comments... Complete and Technically Adequate with comments:

The renewal application did not include statistical data utilized in the analysis. The permit application must include electronic and hard copies of all data utilized in the groundwater

analysis. However, groundwater quality is an outstanding issue that will be addressed separately from the application renewal process.

4.0 DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION

4.1 Service Area

Section 2 b iii A X 1

- Must ID the geographic service area
- Must ID all types of waste received (e.g., municipal, industrial, construction/demolition, scrap tires, non-friable asbestos, friable asbestos, petroleum-contaminated soils, petroleum storage tanks, used oil, etc)
- Must ID quantity of wastes received for disposal (annual average, in units of tons per day) ... if site-specific data not available, must assume 6.3 pounds per person per day.
- Must define facility classification
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

4.2 Access Control

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.13

- Must ID facility access controls (e.g., natural barriers, fences, gates)
- Section 4.b.i -- Must be fenced to prevent access by the public, livestock and wildlife and to contain litter w/in the facility
- Section 4.b.ii and 5.d -- Must be equipped with a gate which can be locked at the end of each
 operating day

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

4.3 Waste Screening

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.13

- Must ID waste screening program, including a list of prohibited wastes
- Section 5.e -- Liquid wastes (fail Paint Filter Liquids Test) are prohibited, unless household quantities or managed in a unit designed for liquids
- Section 5.f -- Regulated quantities of hazardous waste are prohibited. Random inspections or some other program must be used to prohibit disposal of PCBs and regulated quantities of hazardous waste, unless CESQG or household hazardous wastes. SHWD must be promptly notified if regulated quantities of hazardous waste or PCBs are discovered.

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

- Must estimate total facility capacity
- Must estimate total facility life
- Must ID assumptions and calculations used as supporting documentation
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative

Comments... Complete and Technically Adequate with comments

On November 27, 2001, the Department submitted a letter to the District suggesting that the District submit a renewal application that includes plans for a vertical expansion over the original 80 acre landfill site. On January 14, 2002, the District and the Department met to discuss alternatives. In a follow-up memo, the Department again committed to a vertical expansion of the facility, noting that the District must first submit a permit modification application. Both parties committed to a work session. On January 18, 2002, the Department sent a letter noting that the remaining permitted life of the facility was approximately 2.8 years. The Department again committed to a vertical expansion that would add up to 14 years of life to the landfill, meaning the facility would need to cease disposal in the unlined original 80 acre area by December 31, 2018. On March 17, 2003, the District submitted a plan and timetable to complete filling in the existing 80 acre area by December 13, 2018, giving the District approximately 15 years of additional capacity beyond what had previously been permitted and more time to establish a long-term plan for waste management.

The Department considers these documents to be a commitment by both parties that disposal in the original 80 acres would not continue beyond December 31, 2018.

The current renewal application includes a permit amendment which will increase the facility's capacity and design life to the year 2037 by adding a new cell/unit vertically above the current disposal cell/unit. A capacity expansion beyond December 31, 2018, constitutes a permit amendment and the area used beyond December 31, 2018, constitutes a new landfill cell/unit. Per existing Solid Waste Rules and recent statutes, new landfill cells/units must be constructed with an engineered containment system or the operator must submit a performance based design which ensures that the concentration values for pollutants listed in the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations, 40 CFR Part 141, will not be exceeded in the uppermost aquifer at the relevant point of compliance. The current application includes neither of these elements.

Rather than delay the permit process further, the Department plans to issue a renewal permit for the facility authorizing continued disposal in the original 80 acre area for the next four (4) year permit term. The next permit application for the facility will need to include a performance based design or an engineered containment system design for all units that will receive waste after December 31, 2018. Alternatively, the District could decide to cease disposal in the original 80 acre area by December 31, 2018 and begin disposal in the expansion area.

4.5 Potential Impacts to Surface Water and Ground Water**

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.3

- Must consider facility design (e.g., liner and cover systems, surface water control systems) and hydrogeologic conditions (e.g., soil and bedrock lithologies, depth to ground water)
- Should include assumptions and design calculations for surface water control structures as supporting documentation, including a map of contributing drainage basins
- If potential migration pathways exist, should also include technical evaluation of leachate generation rates (e.g., HELP Model analysis) and migration rates during operating and closure periods as supporting documentation
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative
- Section 4.i -- Temporary surface water diversion structures (<5 years) must be designed for 25year / 24-hour event. Permanent surface water diversion structures (5+ years) must be designed for 100-year / 24-hour event. Sediment control structures must meet WQD Chapter 11 standards.
- Section 5.u -- Standing or running water may not be allowed to contact solid waste or pond of filled areas
- Section 5.v -- Leachate, contaminated groundwater and/or surface water run-off from active areas may not enter groundwater or surface water
- Section 5.w -- Waste shall not be placed in contact with groundwater
- Section 5.x -- Facilities shall not alter groundwater quality
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.A.V

Comments...

Complete and Technically Adequate with comments:

At the time of this review, the District has identified statistically significant differences between background and downgradient groundwater quality. However, this is an outstanding issue that will be addressed separately from the current four (4) year renewal process. Electronic and hard copies of all data utilized in the groundwater analysis must be included in the application document.

4.6 Cover Material

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.4

- Must ID schedule and procedures for applying routine and intermediate cover
- Must ID characteristics and volumes of cover material required for routine, intermediate and final cover
- Must ID characteristics and volumes of cover material available at site
- If sufficient volumes of suitable cover material are not available on-site, alternative sources must be identified
- Must ID assumptions and calculations used as supporting documentation
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative
- Section 5.q.ii -- Six (6) inches of routine cover shall be applied daily (>10 TPD), every 7 days (>3 TPD), or every 16 days (<3 TPD)
- Section 5.q.iii -- Clean wood burn pile, scrap tire stockpiles, construction/demolition debris
 metallic waste stockpiles and PCS treatment areas are exempt from routine cover requirement.
- Section 5.q.iv -- Alternative cover materials must control infiltration, disease vectors, fires, odors, litter and scavenging. If an approved alternative cover is used, 6 inches of soil must be applied every 30 days.
- Section 5.r -- An additional twelve (12) inches of intermediate cover shall be applied to any area

where wastes will not be disposed for a period of 180 days

- Section 7.d -- Final soil cover must include a minimum of 2 feet of compacted soil (in addition to daily or intermediate cover already in place), unless an alternative infiltration barrier layer design is approved
- Section 4.k Clay barrier layers included in a final cover must be overlain by a layer of soil suitable to protect the clay barrier layer from frost penetration

Comments... N/E with comment

Due to the length of time until final cover placement will occur, the Department has determined that detailed evaluation of this section of the permit is not necessary in order to issue a four (4) year renewal permit. However, this section will be evaluated as part of the next permit renewal (lifetime). The Department has noted the following:

The application indicates the current borrow source (located in the
expansion area) has approximately 1.2 million cubic yards of material
available and that the final cover will require approximately 610,000 cubic
yards. However, the permit does not address the characteristics and
volumes of cover material required for routine, intermediate and final
covers, or any supporting calculations or characteristics of cover material
available at site.

4.7 Engineered Containment Systems**

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.5

- Must ID the design, specifications, construction methods and quality control program of any
 engineered containment systems (compacted soil layers forming a final cover are considered part
 of an engineered containment system)
- If Type I facility, applicant must demonstrate compliance with ECS design & construction standards in Section 4(j) and 4(k) or demonstrate that ECS is not necessary
- If Type II facility, SHWD will require owner/operator to provide site specific information adequate for SHWD to determine if ECS is needed in consideration of factors in Section 4(j).
- Section 4.j -- ECS required unless all of the flowing conditions are met
 - native soils are sufficiently impermeable to prevent potential contamination
 - waste types or operating practices minimize potential for contamination
 - site hydrologic conditions are sufficient to protect groundwater from contamination, and
 - facility accepts less than 500 short tons of unprocessed household or mixed household and industrial refuse per day
- Section 4.k and 4.l -- Minimum ECS Standards
 - barrier layers forming caps and/or liners
 - bearing strength
 - synthetic membranes
 - lateral drainage layers
 - leachate collection and detection systems
 - QA/QC plan to assure adequate construction and testing for all components
 - cell capacity limit = 1,000,000 cubic yards unless leak detection is capable of isolating leak locations
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments... Complete and Technically Adequate with comments:

The facility has submitted conceptual designs as required; however, the Department believes that submitting the details regarding the design three (3) years prior to implementation would not provide sufficient time for regulatory review and construction. The Department recommends changing this to five (5) years. However, based on the current developmental stage of the facility and the uncertainty of rules needed to implement new statutes, we will defer this issue until the next renewal application (lifetime permit).

As indicated above, the Department plans to issue a renewal permit for this facility authorizing continued disposal in the original 80 acre area for the next four (4) year permit term. The next permit application for the facility will need to include a performance based design or an engineered containment system design for all units that will receive waste after December 31, 2018.

4.8 Leachate Management**

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.6

- If facility design includes a leachate collection system, must ID schedules and procedures for monitoring, collection, treatment and disposal of leachate
- Section 5.v -- Leachate may not be discharged to surface water or ground water without an NPDES permit
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

4.9 Fire Fighting and Emergency Procedures

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.7

- Must ID emergency equipment, location of fire lanes and procedures for contacting emergency personnel
- Must ID protocol for fighting fires in the working face
- Sections 4.e & 5.k Facilities must maintain an unobstructed 10-foot fire lane within the working area or perimeter fence. Landfill personnel shall have access to portable fire extinguishers when on-site. Communication systems may be necessary to alert emergency personnel.

Comments... Complete and Technically Adequate with comments:

The Department is requesting that all facilities include <u>DEQ notification within 24 hrs</u> to their fire emergency procedures.

4.10 Topsoil Handling

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.8

- Must ID procedures for stripping, stockpiling, stabilizing and signing topsoil
- Section 4.g -- Topsoil must be stripped and stockpiled for use in reclamation, identified by signs, and revegetated (as required) for stabilization. Topsoil may not be removed from the facility without SHWD authorization

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

4.11 Facility Signs

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.9

- Must ID location and actual wording of all signs
- Section 4.c -- Each point of access must contain a sign which is easily readable, in good condition, and ID facility name, name & phone number of emergency contacts, hours of operation, prohibited wastes, requirement to notify operator if wastes contain asbestos

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

4.12 Litter Control

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.10

- Must ID all areas which will be subject to litter control program, including internal fences, perimeter fences, and off-site areas
- Must ID frequency for litter collection at all areas
- Must ID special operating procedures to be used during period of high wind, including a procedure for defining high wind conditions
- Must ID local wind speed and direction data, including source of data
- Sections 4.n and 5.l -- Litter control program must be effective in controlling litter on-site and offsite during all wind conditions

Comments... Deficient

Based on information collected during DEQ inspections the Department has determined that the proposed litter collection program is insufficient. The Department is requesting that the program be modified to include daily litter inspections on-site and off-site, and routine collections which will prevent the accumulation of litter on-site and off-site. The Department has determined that a predetermined collection schedule may not prevent the accumulation of litter causing the facility to violate their permit. Instead the Department feels a daily inspection with routine (as necessary) collection should promote compliance with litter management standards.

4.13 Equipment

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.11

- Must ID type amount and purpose of all equipment, including procedures for covering and compacting
- Must ID source or procedures for obtaining backup equipment
- Section 5.c -- Equipment must be adequate to cover and compact waste. Backup equipment must be available to insure compliance with compaction & covering requirements
- Section 5.p -- Wastes must be adequately compacted to reduce long-term settling and conserve landfill space

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

4.14 Special Waste Areas

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.12

- Must ID design, construction and operation of units dedicated to a specific waste such as dead animals, red-bag wastes, clean-wood burn piles, lead-acid batteries, used oil and other wastes stored or stockpiled for recycling
- If used oil is managed, the application must also address the applicable provisions of Chapter 12
 of the HWRR, a separate review form should be attached.
- Section 5.g -- Dead animals must be covered daily
- Section 5.i -- Salvaging, if permitted, shall be conducted in such a manner as not to interfere with normal operations
- Section 5.j -- Nothing but clean wood may be burned, and only if a burn permit has been issued by the Air Quality Division
- Sections 4.p & 5.z -- Chapter 8 standards apply to units which manage scrap tires, non-friable asbestos, friable asbestos, petroleum-contaminated soils and petroleum storage tanks
- Section 4.q & 5.aa -- Chapter 6 standards may apply to larger transfer/storage/treatment units

Comments... Deficient

Section 5.4.3 of the application indicates that the management of Lead-acid batteries, scrap metal, petroleum contaminated soils (PCS), scrap tires, used antifreeze, used oil and used paint are "exempt from the requirement to obtain a permit." All waste management activities at this facility are regulated under Chapter 2 of the Solid Waste Rules and Regulations (SWRR). Specifically Chapter 2 section 4 (q) requires that the facility comply with the design and construction standards in Chapter 6 and special waste management standards in Chapter 8 of the SWRR. In Addition, the management of used oil must meet the requirements of Chapter 12 of the HWRR Therefore, these activities are not exempt from regulation and the "exempt" notation must be removed from the text. In addition, the following sections have been determined to be deficient:

 Section 5.14: used antifreeze, used Oil and used paint must be managed in accordance with Chapter 6 section 4(a)(ix) which requires all units which store liquid wastes be equipped with secondary containment equal to 110% of the largest container within the unit.

4.15 Other Design, Construction and Operation Information

Section 2.b.iii.A.X.13

- Must ID engineering measures taken to ensure stability of structural components (e.g., ECS, ponds, corrective action systems) in unstable areas, fault areas and seismic impact zones
- Must ID design and construction of trench walls
- Must ID design and construction of methane control systems for on-site structures
- Must ID procedures for controlling vectors, dust and odor
- Must ID procedures for confining the working face to the smallest practical area, including signs to direct traffic
- Must ID location and availability of facility records
- Section 4.h -- Engineering measures must be incorporated in facility design & construction to ensure stability of structural components in unstable areas
- Section 4.m -- Trench walls shall not exceed ratio of 1.5:1 (H:V) unless slope stability analysis is
 provided to demonstrate that steeper slopes can be safely constructed and maintained. Analysis
 may be based on site-specific stability calculations or WOSHA regulations.

- Section 4.o -- On-site structures must be designed and constructed to prevent the accumulation of methane gas
- Section 5.a -- Training & examination records must be available for SHWD review, manager must have working knowledge of plan within 6 months, basic training program must include training identification of PCB and hazardous wastes
- Section 5.h -- Signs must be posted to direct traffic to the proper area
- Section 5.m -- On-site populations of disease vectors must be prevented or controlled
- Section 5.n -- Adequate measures must be taken to control dust and odors
- Section 5.o -- Working face must be confined to the smallest practical area using signs or physical barriers
- Section 5.y -- Records must be maintained at the facility or an approved alternative location and available for inspection and copying

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

5.1 Groundwater Monitoring System**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XI.1

- Must ID the location, design, construction and development of all groundwater monitoring wells
- Must ID upgradient and downgradient wells
- Drilling logs, reports and well schematics must be provided as supporting documentation
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.II

Type I Systems...

- Section 6.b.i.B.I -- Well locations must be capable of monitoring upgradient and downgradient conditions in the uppermost aquifer. Locations must be approved by SHWD; downgradient wells must be as close as possible to disposal facility waste boundary but no more than 150 meters from waste on land owned, leased, or otherwise controlled by the operator
- Section 6.b.i.B.II -- System design must consider number, spacing and orientation of waste units, hydrologic setting, history & design of the facility, and waste types
- Section 6.b.i.B.III System design must consider site-specific info on aquifer thickness, properties, flow direction and rates (including seasonality), soil information, aquitards and aquicludes

Type II Systems...

- Section 6.b.ii.A -- Well locations must be capable of monitoring upgradient and downgradient conditions in the uppermost aquifer
- Section 6.b.ii.B -- Wells must be designed, constructed and installed in accordance with WQD Chapter 11 requirements
- Section 6.b.ii.C -- Well locations, design, construction and development must be approved by SHWD

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

5.2 Groundwater Monitoring Program**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XI.2

- Must ID the sampling locations, frequency, schedule (i.e., months), parameters, procedures, test methods and quality control procedures
- A detailed Standard Operating Procedure is recommended as supporting documentation
- Must ID procedures (e.g., statistical, graphical) for analyzing the data
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.II

Type | Systems...

- Section 6.a -- All samples must be collected and managed in accordance with SHWD guidance or equivalent methods approved by SHWD
- Section 6.b.i.C.I -- Sample collection, preservation, shipment, laboratory analysis, chain-ofcustody and QA/QC procedures must be described
- Section 6.b.i.C.II -- Sampling and analysis procedures must be appropriate and accurate. Field filtering is prohibited
- Section 6.b.i.C.III --Static water level measurements must be taken prior to purging. Groundwater flow direction must be determined during each sampling event. Groundwater flow rates must be measured or calculated, as requested by the SHWD
- Section 6.b.i.C.IV -- Background water quality must be established in hydraulically upgradient well
- Section 6.b.i.C.V Operator shall collect a sufficient number of samples to meet the requirements
 of the statistical analysis selected in Section 6.b.i.C.VI
- Section 6.b.i.C.VI & VII -- Statistical analysis must be conducted using parametric analysis of variance, non-parametric analysis of variance, tolerance or prediction interval procedure, control charts, or other method approved by SHWD and meet appropriate performance standards
- Section 6.b.i.D -- Detection Monitoring
 - Appendix A at least semi-annually (quarterly for 1st year)
 - Alternative list of constituents must be approved by SHWD
 - Alternative frequency for Appendix A must be approved by SHWD (minimum is annually)
 - Statistical analysis must be completed within 30 days of completing sampling and analysis for each event (Section 6.b.i.C.)
 - If statistically significant increase is detected, operator must notify SHWD within 14 days and start assessment monitoring within 90 days (unless alternative explanation approved)
- Section 6.b.i.E Assessment Monitoring
 - Appendix B in all downgradient wells within 90 days and annually thereafter
 - 4 independent samples in all downgradient wells for Appendix B constituents detected
 - Alternative wells, constituents and frequency must be approved by SHWD
 - Appendix A and all Appendix B constituents detected in all wells at least semi-annually
 - Alternative frequency for Appendix B constituents detected must be approved by SHWD (minimum is annually)

Type II Systems...

- Section 6.a -- All samples must be collected and managed in accordance with SHWD guidance or equivalent methods approved by SHWD
- Section 6.b.ii.D.1 -- Baseline monitoring
- Section 6.b.ii.D.II -- Detection monitoring
- Section 6.b.ii.D.III -- Assessment monitoring
- Section 6.b.ii.D.IV SHWD may specify additional parameters including organic constituents

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE provided the noted change is made.

Section 3.9 of the sampling and analysis plan contained in appendix GG indicates that low-flow sampling can be utilized upon notification of the WDEQ/SHWD. The SWRR require that the facility have approval of permit modifications prior to implementation. This should be reworded to indicate Low-flow sampling procedures may be utilized upon approval by WDEQ/SHWD..

5.3 Methane Monitoring System**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XI.3

- Must ID the location, design and construction of the methane monitoring system
- Must ID system abandonment procedures
- Drilling logs, reports and well schematics must be provided as supporting documentation
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative
- Section 6.a -- All samples must be collected and managed in accordance with SHWD guidance or equivalent methods approved by SHWD
- Section 6.c.i -- Location, design, construction and installation of the system must be approved by the SHWD
- Section 6.c.ii -- Abandoned methane monitoring system must be plugged and sealed in accordance with SHWD recommendations
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.II

Comments...

Complete and Technically Adequate with comments!

Section 4.0 Methane Monitoring indicates that the shop building and two methane vents will be monitored for the presence of methane. The Department has determined that monitoring the two vents is not necessary. Also, the Department is recommending the facility install a permanent methane monitoring device in the shop building. This would aid the facility in demonstrating that methane is not accumulating in the facility structure above 25% LEL.

5.4 Methane Monitoring Program**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XI.4

- Must ID the sampling locations, frequency, schedule (i.e., months) and procedures
- A detailed Standard Operating Procedure is recommended as supporting documentation
- Must ID procedures for notifying the SHWD if methane levels exceed 25% of the Lower Explosive Limit
- Section 4.o -- Methane levels at on-site structures may not exceed 25% of the LEL
- Section 5.t -- Methane levels at on-site structures and at the facility boundary may not exceed 25% of the LEL. If they do, the operator must immediately notify the administrator and take steps to protect human health. Within 7 days of detection, monitoring data and a description of steps to protect human health must be placed in the facility operating record. Within 60 days of detection, operator must implement an SHWD-approved remediation plan and place a copy of the plan in the facility operating record.
- Section 6.a -- All samples must be collected and managed in accordance with SHWD guidance or

equivalent methods approved by SHWD

- Section 6.c.iii -- Methane analyses must be conducted at least quarterly if required at a facility
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.II

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE See comment in section 5.3

5.5 Other Monitoring Information**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XI.5

- If applicable, must ID location, design, construction and operation of air monitoring systems
- If applicable, must ID location, design, construction and operation of soil core monitoring systems
- If applicable, must ID location, design, construction and operation of vadose zone monitoring systems
- Must ID schedule (i.e., month) for submitting monitoring data and analysis
- Section 6.d -- Air monitoring must be conducted in accordance with AQD rules
- Section 6.e -- Soil core monitoring must be conducted in accordance with SHWD-approved plan
- Section 6.f -- Air monitoring must be conducted in accordance with SHWD-approved plan
- Section 6.b.iii -- Groundwater monitoring data must be submitted in paper format. Groundwater
 monitoring data from Type I systems must also be submitted in an SHWD-specified electronic
 format. SHWD may require groundwater monitoring data from Type II systems with 3 or more
 wells to be submitted in an SHWD-specified electronic format.
- Section 6.g -- All monitoring data must be submitted annually. Type I facilities must also provide statistical analysis of data. All facilities may be required to submit supporting charts and/or maps which represent the data.
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.II

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

6.0 CLOSURE & POST-CLOSURE

6.1 Post-Closure Land Use**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XII.1

- Must ID land use anticipated after closure
- Section 7(p) -- Facility shall be returned to post-closure use specified in the permit unless an alternative use is approved by the SHWD
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

6.2 Deed Notice**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XII.2

- Must ID actual wording of the deed notice, including a metes and bounds description of the permit boundary
- Section 7.g -- Notice must be filed at closure with county clerk. Notice must indicate that the
 property has been used as a solid waste disposal facility and assure that the post-closure use of
 the property will be restricted to prevent any disturbance to the facility containment and
 monitoring systems
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

6.3 Public Notice of Closure**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XII.3

- Must ID actual wording of the notice and indicate where notice will be posted and published
- Section 7.b -- Notice must be published in an area newspaper and all points of facility access
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

6.4 Final Soil Cover**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XII.4

- If final soil cover includes a compacted layer or other barrier layer, it is considered part of an engineered containment system; this section of the application may contain a reference to the appropriate section of the application with information on the barrier layer
- Must ID design, specifications, construction and quality control procedures for the final soil cover system
- Must ID revegetation specifications (e.g., mixture, root depths), procedures (e.g., application rates and methods) and methods for evaluating success
- Should include technical evaluation of final cover performance (e.g., HELP Model analysis) to demonstrate that infiltration rates are minimized. (Excessive infiltration will increase leachate and methane generation rates, waste decomposition, and settling.)
- Section 7.c Facility must be engineered to inhibit future problems with erosion or ponding of surface water over filled areas. Should include evaluation of erosion using the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) or similar method
- Section 7.d -- Minimum Soil Cover Standards
 - infiltration barrier layer of subsoil or combination of materials as specified in the permit application, constructed over refuse or any intermediate cover already in place
 - infiltration barrier ... minimum of 2 feet of soil with a minimum permeability less than or equal to permeability of bottom liner or subsoils, or a permeability of 1x10E-5 cm/sec, whichever is less, or such lower value as specified by the permit
 - alternative infiltration barrier ... minimum of 2 feet of soil which is capable of providing an
 equivalent reduction in the annual flux of infiltration; must be approved by SHWD. SHWD
 may require monitoring of alternative infiltration barrier layer designs to demonstrate
 performance
 - infiltration barrier is in addition to any routine or intermediate cover
 - topsoil must be at least 6 inches thick

- Section 4.k Design/construction of ECS
 - Frost protection required for any compacted clay layer forming part of a final cover system
 - QA/QC plan required to assure adequate construction and testing
- Section 7.e -- Seed mixture shall be a diverse mix compatible with climatic conditions, require
 little maintenance and have root depths that will not exceed the depth of the final soil.
 Vegetation should be compatible with post-closure land use.
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments... N/E with comment

Due to the length of time until final cover placement will occur, the Department has determined that detailed evaluation of this section of the permit is not necessary in order to issue a four (4) year renewal permit. However, this section will be evaluated as part of the next permit renewal (lifetime) The Department has noted the following:

 Section 7.4.4 and appendix CC discuss soil loss as evaluated by the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE). The Department has determined that soil loss should be re-evaluated utilizing the most recent version RUSLE2 as part of the next renewal (lifetime) application. The RUSLE2 program is available at:

http://www.ars.usda.gov/Research/docs.htm?docid=6010

6.5 Surface Water Diversion**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XII.5

- Must ID design of permanent surface water diversion system
- Should include assumptions and design calculations for surface water control structures as supporting documentation, including a map of contributing drainage basins
- Supporting documentation must be provided as an exhibit or appendix and must be properly referenced in the narrative
- Section 4.i -- Temporary surface water diversion structures (<5 years) must be designed for 25year / 24-hour event. Permanent surface water diversion structures (5+ years) must be designed for 100-year / 24-hour event. Sediment control structures must meet WQD Chapter 11 standards.
- Section 7.j -- Surface water diversion system must be maintained and operated throughout the closure and post-closure period
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

6.6 Inspection & Maintenance**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XII.6

- Must ID the frequency and schedule (i.e., months) for inspecting the site during the post-closure period
- Must ID features to be inspected (e.g., access controls, waste containment systems, surface water diversion systems, environmental monitoring systems, etc) and the procedures for insuring that problems are promptly corrected
- Section 7.h -- Facility fences, gates and other access restrictions must be maintained until the site

has been satisfactorily closed and revegetated

- Section 7.i -- Waste containment systems must be maintained and operated throughout the closure and post-closure periods
- Section 7.j -- Surface water diversion system must be maintained and operated throughout the closure and post-closure periods
- Section 7.k -- Environmental monitoring systems must be maintained and operated throughout the closure and post-closure periods
- Section 7.1 -- The operator must respond to any pollution problem reasonably related to the facility's activities. Corrective action systems must be maintained and operated throughout the closure and post-closure periods.
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments..

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

6.7 Environmental Monitoring Program**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XII.7

- If the environmental monitoring program for the active life of the facility is to be used during the closure and post-closure periods, this section of the application may contain a reference to the appropriate section of the application
- Must ID the environmental monitoring program for the closure and post-closure periods
- Section 7.k Environmental monitoring systems must be maintained and operated throughout the closure and post-closure periods
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

6.8 Access Controls**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XII.8

- Must ID the length of time and methods by which access will be restricted
- Section 7.h -- Facility fences, gates and other access restrictions must be maintained until the site
 has been satisfactorily closed and revegetated
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

6.9 Other Closure & Post-Closure Information**

Section 2.b.iii.A.XII.9

- Must ID a tentative schedule for initiation and completion of all closure activities
- Must ID schedule, procedures, etc for closure of any special waste management units as required by SWRR Chapter 8
- Must ID schedule, procedures, etc for closure of any transfer, treatment or storage units as required by SWRR Chapter 6
- Must ID commitment to provide certification of closure by a Wyoming registered professional engineer
- Section 7.a -- Closure activities must commence within 30 days following the time the facility ceases to receive wastes and must be completed within an additional 180 days. SHWD may approve delayed closure or extensions under certain conditions.

- Section 7.m -- Special waste management units must be closed in compliance with the applicable closure standards in SWRR Chapter 8
- Section 7.n -- Transfer, treatment or storage units must be closed in compliance with the applicable closure standards in SWRR Chapter 6
- Section 7.o -- Completion of closure activities must be certified by a Wyoming registered professional engineer
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

7.0 EXHIBITS

- Exhibits may be provided to provide detailed information regarding specific aspects of the permit
 application, and may include, but are not limited to:
 - maps, cross-sections or drawings
 - record-keeping logs
 - QA/QC plans for all components of engineered containment systems
 - standard operating procedures for leachate collection systems
 - standard operating procedures for environmental monitoring programs
 - standard operating procedures for transfer, treatment or storage units or special waste management units
 - state engineer permits for water wells
- A "List of Exhibits" must be provided
- Each exhibit should have a title page with a unique title, author/source and date
- All exhibits should be referenced within the application narrative
- Geological services or work must be stamped, signed, and dated by a professional geologist (see W.S. § 33-41-115)

7.1 USGS Topographic Map

Section 2 b iii B

- Must be an original (scale of 1:24,000) or high quality color copy
- Must ID facility location

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

7.2 Map or Aerial Photograph**

Section 2.b.iii.C

- Must ID the following features within a one (1) mile radius of all facility boundaries:
 - land ownership
 - land use
 - zoning
 - city boundaries
 - occupied dwellings, schools, hospitals, industrial buildings
 - water wells
 - water courses
 - roads
 - other applicable details
 - general topography

**Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.A.IV

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

7.3 General Facility Plot Plan(s)**

Section 2.b.iii.D

- Must be a scale of 200 feet to the inch with five (5) foot contour intervals
- Must ID the following features on one or more plans:
 - facility boundaries
 - buffer zones
 - points of access
 - soil borings
 - groundwater monitor wells
 - methane monitor wells
 - proposed trenches or area fills
 - working area or perimeter fire lanes
 - facility buildings
 - working area and/or perimeter fence locations
 - permanent surface water diversion structures
- Must contain a north arrow, written and bar scales, references to design details, original and revision dates, title and drawing number
- Section 4.a -- All site boundary corners must be surveyed and marked with permanent survey caps
- Section 4.b -- Working area must be fenced and gated to discourage access by people and livestock and to contain litter
- Section 4.e -- 10-foot fire lane required around active units or within perimeter fence
- Section 4.f -- 20-foot buffer zone required within perimeter fence
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.A.II

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

7.4 Facility Development Plan(s)

Section 2.b.iii.E, F &

- Must be at same scale as General Facility Plot Plans (200 feet to the inch with five foot contour intervals)
- Must ID the following features on one or more plans:
 - excavation plans for disposal units (including bottom elevations)
 - temporary surface water diversion structures
 - internal access roads
 - routine cover stockpiles
 - topsoil stockpiles
 - litter screen placement
 - special waste treatment/storage/disposal units
 - other pertinent development details requested by the SHWD
- Must contain a north arrow, written and bar scales, references to design details, original and revision dates, title and drawing number
- May depict development details for the life of the site or the first permit term
- Section 4.h Engineering measures must be incorporated in facility design & construction to ensure stability of structural components in unstable areas

- Section 4.m -- Trench walls shall not exceed ratio of 1.5:1 (H:V) unless slope stability analysis is
 provided to demonstrate that steeper slopes can be safely constructed and maintained. Analysis
 may be based on site-specific stability calculations or WOSHA regulations.
- Section 5.u -- Standing or running water may not be allowed to contact solid wastes
- Section 5.w -- Waste shall not be placed in contact with groundwater

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

7.5 Final Contour Map(s)**

Section 2.b.iii.H

- Must be at same scale as General Facility Plot Plans (not greater than 200 feet to the inch with five foot contour intervals)
- Must ID the following features:
 - final contours
 - location and identification of environmental monitoring systems
 - permanent surface water diversion structures
 - maximum areal extent of disposal units and cover system
 - post-closure surface features (e.g., transfer station, recycling center, storage units, etc.)
- Must contain a north arrow, written and bar scales, references to design details, original and revision dates, title and drawing number
- Section 4.i -- Permanent surface water diversion structures (5+ years) must be designed for 100year / 24-hour event. Sediment control structures must meet WQD Chapter 11 standards.
- Section 7.c -- Facility must be designed to inhibit future problems with erosion or ponding
- Section 7.f -- Facility boundary corners must be surveyed and marked with permanent survey caps
- Section 7.j -- Surface water diversion system must be maintained and operated throughout the closure and post-closure period
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.IV

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

7.6 Cross Sections and Design Details**

Section 2.b.iii.I

- Must ID design and specifications of the following features (if present):
 - internal litter catch screens and fences
 - working area and perimeter fencing
 - access roads
 - trench or area fill cells
 - special waste treatment/storage/disposal units
 - leachate management systems
 - groundwater monitoring wells
 - methane monitoring wells
 - surface water diversion structures and subsurface drains
 - all components of any engineered containment systems
 - final cover system
 - any other features requested by the SHWD
- Must contain written and bar scales, references to maps, original and revision dates, title and drawing number
- Section 4.b -- Working area must be fenced and gated to discourage access by people and

livestock and to contain litter

- Section 4.d -- Access roads must be constructed to enable use in inclement weather
- Section 4.h -- Engineering measures must be incorporated in facility design & construction to ensure stability of structural components in unstable areas
- Section 4.i -- Temporary surface water diversion structures (<5 years) must be designed for 25year / 24-hour event. Permanent surface water diversion structures (5+ years) must be designed for 100-year / 24-hour event. Sediment control structures must meet WQD Chapter 11 standards.
- Section 4.k -- Minimum ECS Standards
 - barrier layers
 - lateral drainage layers, leachate collection and detection systems
- Section 4.m -- Trench walls shall not exceed ratio of 1.5:1 (H:V) unless slope stability analysis is
 provided to demonstrate that steeper slopes can be safely constructed and maintained. Analysis
 may be based on site-specific stability calculations or WOSHA regulations.
- Section 4.o -- On-site structures must be designed and constructed to prevent the accumulation of methane gas
- Section 7.d -- Minimum Soil Cover Standards
 - infiltration barrier ... minimum of 2 feet of soil with a minimum permeability less than or equal to permeability of bottom liner or subsoils, or a permeability of 1x10E-5 cm/sec, whichever is less, or such lower value as specified by the permit
 - alternative infiltration barrier ... minimum of 2 feet of soil which is capable of providing an equivalent reduction in the annual flux of infiltration (must be approved by SHWD)
 - infiltration barrier is in addition to any routine or intermediate cover
 - topsoil must be at least 6 inches thick
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.V

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

7.7 Record Keeping Log**

Section 2.b.iii.J

- Must provide blank copies of all record keeping forms
- Must describe temporary and permanent location of all records
- Section 5.y.i -- The following records must be maintained for a minimum of three (3) years:
 - litter collection activities (e.g., dates, areas, personnel)
 - compaction & covering activities (e.g., dates, areas, personnel)
 - special waste treatment/storage/disposal activities (e.g., dates, weights/volumes, sources)
 - wastes sold or salvaged (e.g., dates, weights/volumes, destination)
 - operating problems such as fires, equipment failure, etc. (e.g., dates, nature of problem)
 - copy of permit
- Section 5.y.ii -- The following records must be maintained through active, closure and postclosure phase of the facility:
 - copy of permit application document
 - location restriction demonstration (if not in permit application document)
 - log of random inspections or other waste screening activities for regulated wastes and PCBs (e.g., dates, times, generator & transporter info, inspection personnel)
 - landfill personnel training records (e.g., personnel, dates, type, amount, location, etc.)
 - methane monitoring activities and data

- groundwater monitoring activities and data

- as-built specifications for length, depth and location of waste disposal units

completion dates and contents of waste disposal units

 closure and post-closure plans (if not in the permit application document) and associated monitoring, testing and analytical data

information supporting cost estimates and financial assurance as required by SWRR Chapter 7

- information supporting facility clarification (if not in permit application document)

- information supporting waiver of engineered containment system requirement for Type I facilities (if not in the permit application document)

reclamation activities (e.g., dates, areas)

**Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.V

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

7.8 Engineered Containment System QA/QC Plans**

Section 2.b.iii.K

- Must ID specific installation and testing procedures for clay barrier systems, including:
 - specifications and properties
 - moisture content
 - lift thickness
 - density
- Must ID specific installation and testing procedures for synthetic membrane systems, including:
 - specifications and properties
 - compatibility (waste & leachate)
 - installation procedures
 - layout pattern
 - seam leaks (100%)
 - seam strength
 - defects
- Must ID specific procedures and testing plans for lateral drainage systems, leachate collection and leachate detection systems, including:
 - specifications & properties
 - compatibility
 - installation procedures
 - layout pattern
 - filter fabric or material
- Section 4.k -- QA/QC plan must assure adequate construction and testing of system components
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.i.B.III

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

7.9 Transfer/Treatment/Storage Facility Requirements**

Section 2.b.iv

- SWRR Chapter 6: As an alternative to providing information in the application regarding the location, design, construction, operation, monitoring, closure and post-closure care of unique transfer, treatment and storage units, the application can provide stand-alone plans for these units which address the applicable requirements of SWRR Chapter 6.
- If used oil is managed, the application must also address the applicable provisions of Chapter 12

of the HWRR; a separate review form should be attached.

**Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.ii

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

7.10 Special Waste Management Standards**

Section 2.b.iv

- SWRR Chapter 8: As an alternative to providing information in the application regarding the location, design, construction, operation, monitoring, closure and post-closure care of special waste management units, the application can provide stand-alone plans for these units which address the applicable requirements of SWRR Chapter 8.
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.ii

Comments...

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

7.11 Commercial Facility Requirements**

Section 2.b.iv

- SWRR Chapter 10: If the facility meets the definition of a "commercial facility", the application must address the applicable provisions of SWRR Chapter 10
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.ii

Comments...

N/A

8.0 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE

Applicability: See Chapter 7, Section 7.b

8.1 Cost Estimates**

Section 2.b.iv

- Must contain estimates for a 3rd party to complete each closure and post-closure task (see SWRR Chapter 7, Section 3 for coverage requirements
- May use published data (e.g., Means Cost Data) if properly referenced
- May use local contractor bids if they are signed, dated, valid for a minimum of one (1) year and identify the SHWD as a potential client (copies must be provided)
- Municipally owned/operated disposal facilities may use the procedures specified in SWRR Chapter 7, Section 9 (see Solid Waste Guideline #12)
- Must include 15% contingency fee
- **Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.ii

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

8.2 Mechanism**

Section 2.f

- Allowable mechanisms include self-bonds, surety bonds, federally-insured certificates of deposit, government-backed securities, cash, or letters of credit (see SWRR Chapter 7, Section 4 for detailed information regarding specific forms of financial assurance
- Allowable mechanisms also includes the State closure and post-closure account for municipallyowned solid waste disposal facilities (see SWRR Chapter 7, Section 9 for detailed information regarding use of this account)

 Mechanism must be reviewed and approved by SHWD bonding analyst and senior assistant attorney general

**Closure application cross-reference: Section 2.d.ii

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

9.0 RENEWAL APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

9.1 Previously Approved Amendments and Revisions

Section 2.c.i

- An updated permit application form must be signed and dated by the applicant. If engineering
 modifications have been made, the updated permit application form must also be stamped,
 signed and dated by a professional engineer. If the application contains a major amendment, the
 updated permit application form must also be signed and dated by the landowner.
- All amendments (major and minor) and plan revisions approved during the previous permit term should be briefly described in the narrative
- The applicant can provide a revised permit application document or replacement pages, drawings, etc.
- Replacement pages, drawings, etc. must be appropriately numbered and dated so that they can
 inserted into the permit application document and obsolete pages, drawings, etc. can be removed

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

9.2 New Amendments and Revisions

Section 2.c.ii.A

- Proposed amendments (major and minor) and plan revisions must be briefly described in the narrative
- If applicable provisions of SWRR Chapter 2 have been modified since the previous permit was issued, the renewal application must demonstrate compliance with the most current rules and regulations
- The applicant can provide a revised permit application document or replacement pages, drawings, etc.
- Replacement pages, drawings, etc. must be appropriately numbered and dated so that they can
 inserted into the permit application document and obsolete pages, drawings, etc. can be removed

Comments... N/E with note

Due to the length of time until final cover placement will occur, the Department has

9.6 Correction of Operational Deficiencies

Section 2.c.ii.E

- Must describe steps taken to mitigate or correct practices that have resulted in operational deficiencies during the previous permit term
- Deficiencies include those ID'd by inspection reports, administrative orders and civil orders

COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

9.7 Updated Transfer/Treatment/Storage Facility Requirements

Section 2.c.ii.F

- Amendments or revisions to transfer/treatment/storage facilities should be briefly described in the narrative
- If applicable provisions of SWRR Chapter 6 have been modified since the previous permit was issued, the renewal application must demonstrate compliance with the most current rules and regulations
- The applicant can provide a revised permit application document or replacement pages, drawings, etc.
- Replacement pages, drawings, etc. must be appropriately numbered and dated so that they can
 inserted into the permit application document and obsolete pages, drawings, etc. can be removed

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

9.8 Updated Special Waste Management Requirements

Section 2.c.ii.F

- Amendments or revisions to special waste management units should be briefly described in the narrative
- If applicable provisions of SWRR Chapter 8 have been modified since the previous permit was issued, the renewal application must demonstrate compliance with the most current rules and regulations
- The applicant can provide a revised permit application document or replacement pages, drawings, etc.
- Replacement pages, drawings, etc. must be appropriately numbered and dated so that they can
 inserted into the permit application document and obsolete pages, drawings, etc. can be removed

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

9.9 Updated Commercial Facility Requirements

Section 2.c.ii.F

Comments... N/A

9.10 Updated Financial Assurance Requirements

Section 2.c.ii.F

- Must provide updated cost estimates which are supported by published data, written bids or State Account Worksheets (see Solid Waste Guideline #12)
- If existing financial assurance mechanism is inadequate, an additional or revised mechanism must be provided
- If applicable provisions of SWRR Chapter 7 have been modified since the previous permit was issued, the renewal application must demonstrate compliance with the most current rules and regulations
- The applicant can provide a revised permit application document or replacement pages, drawings, etc.
- Replacement pages, drawings, etc must be appropriately numbered and dated so that they can
 inserted into the permit application document and obsolete pages, drawings, etc. can be removed

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

10.0 APPENDICES

- Appendices may be provided to support the assumptions and conclusions contained in the permit application, and may include, but are not limited to:
 - geotechnical investigation reports
 - geologic investigation reports
 - hydrologic investigation reports
 - planning studies
 - field or laboratory data (waste, soil or groundwater)
 - computer modeling reports
- A "List of Appendices" must be provided
- Each appendix should have a title page with a unique title, author/source and date
- All appendices should be referenced within the application narrative
- Geological services or work must be stamped, signed, and dated by a professional geologist (see W.S. § 33-41-115)

Comments... COMPLETE AND TECHNICALLY ADEQUATE

END OF PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW

Superintendent

Donald Connell

FREMONT COUNTY SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL DISHOTAND HAZARDOUS

WASTE DIVISION

April 11, 2011

APR 1 3 2011

P.O. Box 1400 LANDER, WY 82520 telephone 332-7040 fax 332-5013

Mr. John Corra, Director Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality Herschler Building, 4th Floor 122 West 25th Street Cheyenne, WY 82002



RE: March 28, 2011 Review of Sand Draw Landfill Renewal Application, SHWD File 10.195

Dear Mr. Corra:

On December 23, 2010, the Fremont County Solid Waste Disposal District (FCSWDD) submitted a permit application for the Sand Draw Landfill, in compliance with the provisions of paragraph IV.C of the September 30, 2010 Consent Decree between the State of Wyoming and the FCSWDD. On March 28, 2011, the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality (WDEQ), Solid and Hazardous Waste Division (SHWD) issued a review of the Sand Draw Landfill permit application. The FCSWDD received the WDEQ/SHWD's review on March 30, 2011. The purpose of this letter is to inform you that the FCSWDD does not concur with proposed permit limitations identified in the WDEQ/SHWD's review.

The WDEQ/SHWD's review states that a vertical expansion of the existing landfill beyond 2018 constitutes a new cell, and is therefore subject to the engineered containment requirements of Solid Waste Chapter 2, Section 4(j), and the provisions of W.S. 35-11-524, as recently enacted by the Wyoming Legislature. The FCSWDD is not aware of any regulatory or statutory basis for the WDEQ/SHWD's current interpretation that a vertical expansion constitutes a new cell, as described in the attached memorandum.

The FCSWDD respectfully requests and would appreciate your review of the current respective positions/interpretations of the WDEQ/SHWD and the FCSWDD on this matter. The FCSWDD would also appreciate a written response or a meeting in Lander no later than April 22, 2011. Thank you in advance for your time and consideration of these requests.

Sincerely,

Mike McDonald, Chairman

Fremont County Solid Waste Disposal District

Rick Sollars, Esq.

Western Law Associates, P.C

EXHIBIT

ce: Carl Anderson, WDEQ/SHWD

encl: Review of Statutory and Regulatory Issues - Vertical Expansion of the Sand Draw Landfill (Trihydro, April 11, 2011)



memorandum

To:

Mike McDonald, Chairman, Fremont County SWDD

From:

Ken Schreuder, P.E., P.G.

cc:

Rick Sollars, Western Law Associates

Date:

April 11, 2011

Summary of Statutory and Regulatory Issues - Vertical

Re:

Expansion of the Sand Draw Landfill

Trihydro Corporation (Trihydro) has prepared this summary of statutory and regulatory issues relative to the vertical expansion of the Original Area at the Sand Draw Landfill to assist the Fremont County Solid Waste Disposal District (FCSWDD). For the purposes of this summary, the portion of the facility referred to as the "Original Area" encompasses approximately 80 acres in Section 26, Township 34 North, Range 96 West, 6th P.M., in Fremont County, Wyoming. The portion of the facility referred to as the "Expansion Area" encompasses approximately 137 acres in Section 26, Township 34 North, Range 96 West, 6th Prime Meridian (P.M.), in Fremont County, Wyoming.

On March 28, 2011 the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality (WDEQ), Solid and Hazardous Waste Division (SHWD) issued a review of the December 23, 2010 Sand Draw Landfill Permit Application. The WDEQ/SHWD's review indicated that current disposal activities in the Original Area must cease by December 31, 2018, unless the Fremont County Solid Waste Disposal District (FCSWDD) proposes an engineered containment system (ECS) above existing wastes, or provides a performance-based design demonstration that an ECS is not necessary. The WDEQ/SHWD indicated that this determination is based on previous "commitments" between WDEQ/SHWD and the Fremont County Solid Waste Disposal District (FCSWDD) to cease disposal of waste in this area on December 31, 2018, and a determination that an additional vertical expansion in this area would constitute a new cell.

Based upon our review and understanding, it does not appear that there are specific statutory or regulatory provisions which would prevent the FCSWDD from requesting a permit amendment for a vertical expansion at any point in the operating life of an existing landfill. The September 30, 2010 Consent Decree between the State of Wyoming and the FCSWDD is also silent on this matter.

Regulatory Citations

The following regulatory citations from the Wyoming Solid Waste Rules and Regulations (WSWRRs) are relevant to the WDEQ/SHWD's determination on this matter:

- Chapter 1, Section 1(e)(i) provides the following definitions:
 - "Cell" means compacted solid wastes that are enclosed by natural soil or cover material in a land disposal facility.
 - "Existing facility" means any facility that was receiving solid wastes on or before September 13,



1989.

- "Lateral expansion" means the horizontal enlargement of the boundaries of a solid waste management facility.
- "Major change" means a change to any solid waste management facility location, design or construction, or to any operating, monitoring, closure or post-closure activities, involving one or more of the following items:

The total permitted volumetric capacity of the facility is to be increased by more than five percent (5%);

The facility classification will change;

The facility service area or source of waste will change and cause the original daily tonnage of waste received to increase by more than five percent (5%);

The facility may begin to accept for treatment, storage, or disposal one or more of the special wastes regulated under Chapter 8 of these rules and regulations;

The effectiveness of any liner, leachate collection or detection system, gas detection or migration system, or pollution control or treatment system may be changed; or

The facility modification will, in the judgement of the administrator, be likely to alter the fundamental nature of the facility's activities or cause noncompliance with any applicable facility standard.

"New facility" means:

Any facility that did not receive solid waste on or before September 13, 1989; or

Any modification or lateral expansion of an original permit boundary for the purpose of increasing capacity and/or site life by more than five percent (5%). An incidental facility boundary enlargement for the development of, but not limited to fire lanes, buffer zones, surface water diversion systems, and monitoring systems which are not in conflict with local zoning, land use, and/or land ownership is not considered to be a new facility.

"Solid waste management unit" means a contiguous area of land on or in which solid waste is placed, or the largest area in which there is significant likelihood of mixing solid waste constituents in the same area of a solid waste management facility. Examples of solid waste management units include a surface impoundment at a solid waste management facility, a waste pile, a land treatment area, a landfill cell an incinerator, a tank and its associated piping and underlying containment systems at a solid waste management facility and a container storage



area. A container alone does not constitute a unit; the unit includes containers and the land or pad upon which they are placed.

- The terms identified above are not defined in the EQA, and
- Chapter 2, Section 4(j)(i) states: "Applicability: Effective on the dates specified in paragraph (j)(ii) of this section, new Type I sanitary landfills, new landfill cells at existing Type I sanitary landfills, and horizontal expansions of area fills at existing Type I sanitary landfills must meet the requirements of Sections 4(j) and 4(k) of this chapter, unless the operator demonstrates to the administrator that all of the following conditions are met:"

Statutory Considerations

Senate File 0121, which was enacted by the 61st Legislature of the State of Wyoming, identifies performance based design evaluation criteria for municipal solid waste landfill units. W.S. 35-11-524 states:

- (a) New municipal solid waste landfill units and lateral expansions approved by the administrator under W.S. 35-11-502 and 35-11-523 shall be constructed:
 - (i) In accordance with the performance based design approved by the administrator in a performance based evaluation pursuant to W.S. 35-11-523. Any performance based design approved must ensure that the concentration values for pollutants listed in the Nation Primary Drinking Water Regulation, 40 C.F.R. Part 141, will not be exceeded in the uppermost aquifer at the relevant point of compliance as determined under subsection (c) of this section; or
 - (ii) With an engineered containment system that utilizes a composite liner and leachate collection system that is designed and constructed to maintain less than a thirty (30) centimeter depth of leachate over the liner.

Evaluation of Vertical Expansion Relative to WSWRRs and Wyoming Statutues Based on the regulatory citations provided above, the following points are provided relative to the WDEQ's determination that a vertical expansion of the Original Area constitutes a "new cell":

- The Original Area began receiving wastes in 1982. Based on this fact, the Original Area does not
 meet the definition of a "new facility", but it does meet the definition of an "existing facility".
- Although Chapter 1, Section 1(e)(i) defines a "cell", it does not define a "new cell".
- Existing wastes previously disposed below grade and above grade in the Original Area meet the
 definition of a "cell". By extension, it is reasonable to conclude that these cells can be considered to
 be "existing cells".
- Existing wastes previously disposed below grade and above grade in the Original Area are located



within a contiguous are of land, and therefore also meet the definition of a "solid waste management unit". By extension, it is reasonable to conclude that these wastes can also be considered to be an "existing solid waste management unit".

A vertical expansion of the existing cells/solid waste management units in the Original Area (i.e., an existing facility) meets the definition of a "major change" because it increases the total permitted capacity of the facility by more than 5%. The original 1982 permit application document estimated the capacity of the Original Area to be 586,750 cubic yards (CY). In 2001, a vertical expansion adding 540,392 CY of disposal capacity to the Original Area was proposed, and approved by the WDEQ/SHWD on January 18, 2002. Although a permit for the vertical expansion was never issued, the WDEQ/SHWD's March 28, 2011 correspondence indicates that the WDEQ/SHWD "committed" to the vertical expansion proposed and approved in 2001. The December 2010 permit application includes an additional vertical expansion (which was originally proposed in 2005) to bring the total capacity of the Original Area to approximately 3,050,000 CY. The vertical expansion of the Original Area identified in the December 2010 permit application constitutes an increase of approximately 170% of the capacity defined in 2001 for the Original Area. If the estimated capacity of the Expansion Area is considered (approximately 4,500,000 CY), the vertical expansion of the Original Area identified in the December 2010 permit application constitutes an increase of approximately 25% of the total facility capacity.

Based on definitions provided in Chapter 1, Section 1(e)(i), the additional capacity proposed in the December 2010 permit application can be defined as a vertical expansion to an "existing facility", an "existing solid waste management unit", or a "major change". However, no specific statutory or regulatory citation has been identified to support defining the vertical expansion as a "new cell". It is also noted that the phrase "horizontal expansions of area fills at existing landfills" is included in Section 4(j)(i), but the corollary phrase "vertical expansions of area fills at existing landfills" is not. The omission of the phrase "vertical expansions of area fills at existing landfills" may be interpreted to indicate that such activities are not subject to the ECS requirements. Likewise, recently enacted legislation requiring a performance based design or an engineering containment system is specifically limited to "new municipal solid waste landfill units" and "lateral expansions". The omission of the terms "existing municipal solid waste landfill units" and "vertical expansion" may be interpreted to indicate that such facilities are not subject to the performance based design or ECS requirements identified in W.S. 35-11-524.

Evaluation of Vertical Expansion Relative to Other Regulatory Programs

The interpretations noted above are supported by information obtained regarding other Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Subtitle D regulatory programs approved by Region 8 of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Recent inquires to regulators and operators of existing municipal solid waste landfills (MSWLFs) in both Colorado and South Dakota indicate that their respective state regulatory agencies have approved vertical expansions over existing MSWLFs without imposing ECS requirements. The WDEQ's blanket determination that vertical expansions over unlined areas are "new cells" and therefore subject to ECS requirements, also appears inconsistent with the



regulatory approach adopted by surrounding states, and the provisions of RCRA Subtitle D, including:

- 40 CFR Part 258.2 Definitions
 - Existing MSWLF unit means and municipal solid waste landfill unit that is receiving waste as of the effective date of this part (October 9, 1993).
 - Lateral expansion means a horizontal expansion of the
 - New MSWLF unit means any municipal solid waste la: to the effective date of this part (October 9, 1993).
- 40 CFR Part 258.40 Design Criteria
 - a (a) New MSWLF units and lateral expansions shall be
 - (2) With a composite liner, as defined in paragraph (b) system that is designed and constructed to maintain les liner.

As noted previously regarding the regulatory language in Char requirements of RCRA Subtitle D are also specifically limited expansions of existing MSWLFs, and do not include vertical e

9/20/10 - Mines of frate 12 de

Power 7/1/2010

Precedence in the State of Wyoming

The interpretations noted above are also supported by a recent regulatory determination by the WDEQ/SHWD. In 2008, an existing Type I MSWLF in Wyoming proposed a vertical expansion over existing MSW cells for the disposal of additional MSW. The permit application specifically described the proposal as a "vertical expansion of an existing waste disposal area", and not "a new cell or a horizontal expansion of an existing area fill". The permit application did not propose an ECS, or provide a demonstration requesting a waiver of the ECS requirements. The WDEQ/SHWD determined that the permit application was complete and technically adequate, and constituted a "major change" because it increased the permitted capacity of the facility by more than 5%. Upon completion of the public notice and comment requirements for the major change, the WDEQ/SHWD authorized the permit amendment for a vertical expansion over an existing cell, without imposing the ECS requirements. It is also noted groundwater monitoring at the facility referenced above has detected multiple volatile organic compounds above laboratory reporting limits, and near the associated groundwater protection standards (i.e., the same order of magnitude).

Please let us know if you have any questions or need additional information. You can call me on my cell phone (307-330-7737) or send me an email (<u>kschreuder@trihydro.com</u>).